

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE:

Case No. 09-60009

BRADLEY S. DITTMAN,

Chapter 7

Debtor.

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

This case comes before the Court on Debtor's "Motion for Reconsideration Pursuant to F.R.B.P. 9024," filed on August 6, 2009 (Docket # 26, the "Motion"), which this Court construes as a motion for reconsideration of, and for relief from, the July 27, 2009 Order denying Debtor's motion to extend the automatic stay (Docket # 25), and

The Court having reviewed and considered the Motion, and

The Court finds the Motion fails to demonstrate a palpable defect by which the Court and the parties have been misled, and that a different disposition of the case must result from a correction thereof. *See* Local Rule 9024-1(a)(3).

The Court also finds that the allegations in the Motion do not establish excusable neglect under Fed.R.Civ.P. 60(b)(1), FedR.Bankr.P. 9024, or any other valid ground for relief from the order deny the motion for extending the stay.

In addition, the Court notes the following. The Court cannot now extend the automatic stay, because in order to do so the Court must complete the hearing on the motion to extend the stay no later than 30 days after the filing of the petition. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(3)(B). That 30-day deadline expired in this case no later than Monday, July 27, 2009, so it is now impossible to complete the required hearing by the statutory deadline.

NOW, THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion should be, and is, DENIED.

**Signed on August 14, 2009**

/s/ Thomas J. Tucker  
**Thomas J. Tucker**  
**United States Bankruptcy Judge**